Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/825,189	ARIMILLI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Arpan P. Savla	2185
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>98</u>	
(1) <u>Arpan P. Savla</u> .	(3)	
(2) Eustace Isidore (Attorney).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 January 2008	Time: <u>5PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 8		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A/		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
SANJIV SHAH		
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner and Applicant's representative spoke in order to correct the dependency of claim 8. The Examiner and Applicant's representative agreed to amend the claim 8 so that it depended from claim 2 instead of claim 1. This amendment is reflected in a supplemental notice of allowance along with an Examiner's amendment.